

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,194	12/16/2003	Anthony Kit Lun Leung	884.0213USU	5513	
47545	7590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER		
STEVEN A	. GARNER, ESQ.	•	NGUYEN, TUAN N		
*	RPORATION IINGS POINT ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	O, CT 06902		3751		
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/737,194	LEUNG, ANTHONY KIT LUN
Examiner	Art Unit
Tuan N. Nguyen	3751

	Tuan N. Nguyen	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS		***			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will below or, appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13.	4	Tuan Nguyen Primani Examiner	_		
		Art Unit: 3751			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the new language "simultaneously" that is being relied upon by the applicant to overcome the prior art rejection is still considered as new matter as rejected in the final office action mailed 4/11/06. Neither the original specification nor the original figures has the new language "simultaneously" as asserted by the applicant's argument.